

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/777,912 02/11/2004		02/11/2004	Milo S. Medin	19675-08643	6075
758	7590	04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FENWICK			NEURAUTER, GEORGE C		
SILICON V 801 CALIF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2143	
				DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/777,912	MEDIN, MILO S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143		

D. fr. vo Abo Filima of an Annual Drief								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).					
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	before an an the date of filing a h	Jatian of Ammon will a	not be entered					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filling a rend sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	;nea.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	_	PANTO WIVEY	, ANGINICR					
	CLIDE	RVISORY PATENT EX	Alamarı,					

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments contain no functional relationship between the recited multicasting of content with a second area and requests from end user systems within the second area, therefore, it is unclear as to why a second head end is necessary to cache content since the second head end does not functionally accomplish anything with this content as opposed to the first head end which actually sends the customized content to end user systems as positively recited. The claims are contain typographical errors. Therefore, the amendments will not be entered and not considered to be in condition for allowance, since the proposed amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal and raise clarity issues that will require further consideration by the Examiner.